

[Docket Nos. 74, 86]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
CAMDEN VICINAGE

AFFINITY HEALTHCARE
GROUP VOORHEES, LLC and
DR. KENNETH BROWN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE TOWNSHIP OF
VOORHEES, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil No. 21-800 (RMB/AMD)

ORDER

BUMB, U.S. District Judge

This matter comes before the Court upon the parties' cross motions for summary as to all counts of the Complaint. [Docket Nos. 74, 86.] For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Opinion of today's date,

IT IS this **30th** day of **August 2022**, hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 74] is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 86] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall **CLOSE THIS CASE**; and it is further

ORDERED that if Plaintiffs choose to pursue a motion for reconsideration

pursuant to L. R. Civ. P. 7.1, including with respect to their proffer that there is outstanding discovery, Plaintiffs must clearly set forth the following in their motion: (1) an explanation as to why they did not previously comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c); (2) what fact(s) additional discovery would show; (3) how such additional fact(s) would advance their claim(s); and (4) what particular part(s) of the record support(s) their assertion regarding outstanding discovery.

s/Renée Marie Bumb
Renée Marie Bumb
U.S. District Judge